

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/753,747	SCHURIG, ALMA K.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B. Corrielus	2637

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(3) _____.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

61 and 84

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,813,279

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's rep. agrees to amend claims 60, 61 and 84 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance. In addition the above reference was discussed and applicant argues that fig.1 does not anticipate or rendered obvious claim 60 because the converter does not convert coaxial data to differential data.